

Real-world data on patients with hemophilia A switching to either BAY 94-9027 or BAY 81-8973 from emicizumab using the ATHNdataset

Martin Chandler,¹ Jessica Charlet,² Thomas Moulton,² Michael Recht^{1,3}

¹American Thrombosis & Hemostasis Network, Rochester, NY, USA; ²Bayer, Whippany, NJ, USA; ³Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

CONCLUSIONS

- In this real-world study, 205 patients with hemophilia A were treated with BAY 94-9027 (damoctocog alfa pegol, Jivi[®], Bayer) and 354 with BAY 81-8973 (octocog alfa, Kovaltry[®], Bayer)
- Of these, 26 patients had received previous treatment with emicizumab and were switched to BAY 94-9027 (n=9) or BAY 81-8973 (n=17)
- Annualized bleeding rates (ABRs) were consistent after switching from emicizumab to BAY-9027 or BAY 81-8973
- Further studies are needed to confirm the impact of switching to BAY 94-9027 and BAY 81-8973 in real-world settings
- These data should be interpreted with caution owing to the limitations of real-world studies

OBJECTIVES

- To evaluate the real-world outcomes of switching from emicizumab to either BAY 94-9027 (damoctocog alfa pegol, Jivi[®], Bayer) or BAY 81-8973 (octocog alfa, Kovaltry[®], Bayer) in patients with hemophilia A

INTRODUCTION

- Emicizumab (hemlibra) is a bispecific monoclonal antibody that mimics the activity of clotting Factor VIII (FVIII) by bridging FIXa and FX¹
- BAY 94-9027 is a B-domain deleted recombinant FVIII (rFVIII), site-specifically PEGylated with a 60 kDa (dual-branched) polyethylene glycol to extend its half-life, first approved in the USA in August 2018 for use in previously treated patients aged ≥12 years with congenital hemophilia A¹
- BAY 81-8973 is an unmodified, full-length, standard half-life rFVIII product approved in March 2016, indicated for prophylaxis and on-demand treatment of bleeding events in adults and children with congenital hemophilia A²
- There are few data on individuals who switched from emicizumab to FVIII products
- The ATHNdataset is a Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act-compliant, de-identified database, sponsored by the American Thrombosis and Hemostasis Network³, containing data gathered by staff of ATHN-affiliated hemophilia treatment centers across the USA, including from patients with hemophilia A

METHODS

- The ATHNdataset was used to identify patients with hemophilia A who received either BAY 94-9027 or BAY 81-8973 as prophylaxis or on-demand treatment between January 1, 2010 and April 30, 2022
 - The dataset also reviews all prior treatments
- We analyzed baseline demographic data, treatment history, inhibitor status/history, and bleed rates from the ATHN data set

RESULTS

- Data from 17,109 patients with hemophilia A were included in the database, of whom 205 were receiving BAY 94-9027 and 354 were receiving BAY 81-8973

Patients receiving BAY 94-9027

- Nine patients (4.4%) had switched to BAY 94-9027 from emicizumab (mean time on emicizumab: 0.4 [range: 0–1.4] years) (Table 1)
 - Eight of the nine patients had severe disease, of whom three had been treated prophylactically, four had been treated on demand, and one had undergone immune tolerance induction
 - One patient had moderate disease and was treated prophylactically

Table 1: PATIENT DEMOGRAPHICS AND DISEASE HISTORY AT BASELINE

	Patients switching to BAY 94-9027 (n=9)	Patients switching to BAY 81-8973 with titer on previous treatment (n=17)
Age, years		
Mean (SD)	37.6 (15.3)	33.1 (15.4)
Median (range)	37.3 (16.6–63.3)	33.0 (14.1–63.3)
Male sex, n (%)	9 (100)	17 (100)
Disease severity, n (%)		
Mild	0	1 (5.9)
Moderate	1 (11.1)	5 (29.4)
Severe	8 (88.9)	11 (64.7)
Treatment type, n (%) ^a		
Prophylaxis	4 (44.4)	2 (11.8)
On demand	4 (44.4)	15 (88.2)
Immune tolerance induction	1 (11.1)	0
Duration of treatment, years ^a		
Mean (SD)	1.5 (0.7)	1.7 (1.1)

^aWhile receiving BAY 94-9027 or BAY 81-8973
SD, standard deviation

- Before switching, the mean ABR for all nine patients while receiving emicizumab was 0.25
- After switching to BAY 94-9027, the mean ABR was 0 (Table 2)
 - Mean duration of BAY 94-9027 treatment was 1.5 (range: 0.11–2.33) years

Table 2: ABRs FOR INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS WHO HAD AN ABR >0 WHILE RECEIVING EMICIZUMAB BEFORE SWITCHING TO BAY 94-9027*

Patient	ABR during emicizumab therapy	ABR after switching
A	1.3	0
B	1.9	0

*All other patients had an ABR of 0 before and after switching to BAY 94-9027

ABR, annualized bleeding rate

Patients receiving BAY 81-8973

- Overall, 17 patients (8.3%) had switched to BAY 81-8973 from emicizumab (Table 1)
 - The mean time on emicizumab was 0.5 (range: 0.03–2.1) years
 - Eleven of the 17 patients had severe disease, of whom 10 had been treated on demand and one had been treated prophylactically
 - Five patients had moderate disease (prophylaxis, n=1; on demand, n=4) and one had mild disease and had received on-demand treatment
- Before switching, the mean ABR while receiving emicizumab was 1.5 for all 17 patients
 - After switching to BAY 81-8973, the mean ABR was 0.17 (Table 3)
 - Mean duration of BAY 81-8973 treatment was 1.7 (range: 0–3.9) years

Table 3: ABRs FOR INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS WHO HAD AN ABR >0 WHILE RECEIVING EMICIZUMAB BEFORE SWITCHING TO BAY 81-8973*

Patient	ABR during emicizumab therapy	ABR after switching
C	2.9	0
D	1.3	0
E	4.2	1.3
F	5.5	0
G	0.6	0
H	4.2	0.6
I	4.6	0.6

*All other patients had an ABR of 0 before and after switching to BAY 81-8973, except one patient who had an ABR of 0 on emicizumab and 0.28 on BAY 81-8973

ABR, annualized bleeding rate

Limitations

- The real-world data in the ATHNdataset relied on data captured during ATHN-affiliated hemophilia treatment center reviews and patients sharing bleed events at those reviews
- Due to the potentially incomplete nature of such datasets, results from real-world studies could be subject to recall bias and hence might have an influence on the observed low ABRs in this study
- Reasons for switching from emicizumab were not captured in the dataset
- These limitations should be taken into consideration while interpreting the effectiveness data presented

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by Bayer. Medical writing services were provided by Kennedy Shaw, MSc, of Adelphi Communications Ltd (Bollington, UK), funded by Bayer US in accordance with Good Publications Practice 2022 guidelines.

References

1. Jivi[®]. Prescribing information. 2018. Available from: https://labeling.bayerhealthcare.com/html/products/pi/Jivi_PI.pdf. Accessed May 9, 2023.
2. Kovaltry[®]. Prescribing information. 2022. Available from: https://labeling.bayerhealthcare.com/html/products/pi/Kovaltry_PI.pdf. Accessed May 9, 2023.
3. ATHN. Available from: <https://athn.org/what-we-do/national-projects/athndataset.html>. Accessed May 2023.

Disclosures

MC, none to declare. JC, Bayer employee. TM, Bayer employee. MR, research funding support to employer from Bayer, BioMarin, CSL Behring, Genentech, Grifols, HEMA Biologics, LFB, Novo Nordisk, Octapharma, Pfizer, Sanofi, Spark, Takeda, uniQure; consultation/advisory board fee from Catalyst Biosciences, CSL Behring, Genentech, HEMA Biologics, Kedrion, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sanofi, Takeda, uniQure; member of the Board of Directors of Foundation for Women and Girls with Blood Disorders, Partners in Bleeding Disorders and employee of ATHN, Oregon Health and Science University.